

## Community Decisions Multimedia Presentation Rubric

	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<p><b>Content</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community problem identified</li> <li>• Community needs assessment results analyzed and explained</li> <li>• Ideas ranked with top three including thorough explanation of decision-making process</li> <li>• Rankings compared with other constituent groups</li> <li>• Recommendations include explanations for top three choices</li> <li>• One final recommendation presented with justification</li> </ul>	<p>Community problem is explained thoroughly.</p> <p>Needs assessment results are carefully synthesized and interpreted to determine three reasonable and practical choices that accurately reflect the most important needs and values of the constituency group.</p> <p>Three choices are clearly ranked and each choice is supported by several carefully considered reasons that show how it benefits both the community and the constituency group.</p> <p>Comparisons with other groups' rankings draw thoughtful conclusions through careful analysis of similarities and differences of different constituencies.</p> <p>Final recommendation benefits both the constituency group and the community and is</p>	<p>Community problem is explained.</p> <p>Needs assessment results are synthesized to choose three options that fairly reflect the needs and values of the constituency group.</p> <p>Three choices are clearly ranked and each choice is supported and explains the reasonable and logical benefits for the constituency group.</p> <p>Comparisons with other groups' ranking accurately describe the similarities and differences of the groups.</p> <p>Final recommendation benefits both the constituency group and the community and is less supported by specific references to survey results and is somewhat supported with logical, thoughtful reasons.</p>	<p>Community problem is explained vaguely.</p> <p>Three options are presented that may not truly reflect the values of the constituency group and are described vaguely.</p> <p>Three choices are ranked, but the benefits are superficial or illogical.</p> <p>Comparisons with other groups' rankings are superficial and incomplete, with no analysis.</p> <p>Final recommendation benefits either the constituency group or the community and is not supported adequately by specific references to survey results.</p>	<p>Community problem is not explained.</p> <p>Three reasonable options are not described.</p> <p>Choices are not ranked.</p> <p>Benefits are not described.</p> <p>Rankings are not compared.</p> <p>Final recommendation is not supported.</p>

	supported by specific references to analyzed survey results and supported with logical, thoughtful reasons.			
<b>Presentation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organization</li> <li>• Visual elements</li> <li>• Mechanics</li> <li>• Public speaking</li> </ul>	<p>Presentation is organized in a logical manner and is engaging.</p> <p>Graphics enhance the meaning of the presentation.</p> <p>There are no spelling or punctuation errors.</p> <p>Speakers consistently use effective public speaking skills and project poise, confidence, and enthusiasm, and organization.</p>	<p>Presentation is organized in a logical manner.</p> <p>Graphics contribute to the effectiveness of the presentation.</p> <p>There are no spelling or punctuation errors that detract from meaning.</p> <p>Speakers use effective public speaking skills.</p>	<p>Presentation appears unorganized.</p> <p>Graphics occasionally distract from the meaning of the presentation.</p> <p>There are a few spelling and punctuation errors that detract from meaning.</p> <p>Speakers attempt to use effective public speaking skills.</p>	<p>Presentation is unorganized and confusing.</p> <p>Graphics distract from the meaning of the presentation.</p> <p>There are numerous distracting errors.</p> <p>Speakers use ineffective public speaking skills.</p>
<b>Teamwork</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Division of responsibilities</li> <li>• Problem-solving and decision-making strategies</li> </ul>	<p>Responsibilities are divided fairly and equitably taking advantage of individual strengths.</p> <p>Group supports each other and works together effectively, solving problems on their own and making decisions based on reason.</p>	<p>Responsibilities are divided fairly.</p> <p>Group members work well together and generally solve problems on their own.</p>	<p>Work load is extremely uneven.</p> <p>Group members have frequent disputes and have difficulty resolving them on their own.</p>	<p>Some group members do not participate or some group members dominate.</p> <p>Group is unable to complete tasks because of unresolved inter-personal conflicts.</p>